

interfere or cause more erosion but the plans are changed and he wants to use it and he is tied down, the man isn't going to be able to sell his land. What if the new owner wants to change it and it is going to improve the method. Still he is tied down by state statute to do a particular thing. Now, I believe good judgment is always used in any of these sort of transactions and when I have dealt with the ASCS office and received this type of funds there hasn't been any problem as to the construction and the use etc. So, I believe these things are covered in the law and if we try to legislate in every little thing that comes along we are just going to cause problems and I think Senator Schmit had a good point on the title. I just went and borrowed some money from the federal land bank and, boy, they are touchy as can be. I mean if there is anything about that title you have got problems, so I would have to say the bill has gone this far, we have discussed it, let's let it go and if the problem arises we can always come back another time and change it. I don't think in an instant like this that we should make this kind of a change at the last minute and therefore I am going to vote no on returning the bill. Thank you Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members, a question of Senator Beutler.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler, would you respond please.

SENATOR LAMB: Do we have a copy of your amendment on our desk?

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, you do not. It only has about eight words in it. It adds the language "or his or her assigns, heirs, or other transferors", I didn't think that the. . .

SENATOR LAMB: I even went up there and I couldn't read it when I went to the Clerk's desk. I guess it is a little difficult for me to read it when I looked at the copy there. I guess that is the original up there.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I will work on my penmanship.

SENATOR LAMB: At this point, unless I. . . a lot of us are a little bit touchy about what happens to titles on land. This may be perfectly okay amendment, but at this point I don't know and I guess at this point I'm going to vote against it also. Because, we certainly have not been